PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Doc

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

APP.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

OIPE 40	
AUG 0 2 2006	, , , ,
THADEMARK	

#### 10/020,062 Application Number TRANSMITTAL Filing Date 10/31/2001 **FORM** First Named Inventor John W. Linebarger Art Unit 2616 Examiner Name Thai D. Hoang (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) 14 Total Number of Pages in This Submission Attorney Docket Number 1458


FUEL COURTS AND A STATE OF THE								
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
Fee Transmit	tal Form		Drawing	g(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee A	Attached		Licensir	ng-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment	/ Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
Afte	r Final			to Convert to a onal Application		Proprietary Information		
Affid	lavits/declaration(s)			of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence Ad	dress	Status Letter		
Extension of	Time Request		Termina	al Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Aba	ndonment Request	donment Request				Return Receipt Postcard		
Information D	Disclosure Statement	Ш	CD, Nu	mber of CD(s)				
Certified Cop Document(s	py of Priority )	Remar	rks	Landocape radio on e				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53  Response to Missing Parts under lit is believed that no fees are due in this matter. However, if it is determined the are due, the Commissioner is authorized to debit Deposit Account No. 210765 for required fees.						er. However, if it is determined that fees ebit Deposit Account No. 210765 for the		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm Name	Setter Roche LLP	i OF A	a F LIO	ALL, ALTORNET, U	MAGEN			
Signature 211								
Printed name	Kyle J. Way	,				*		
Date	7/28/06	·			Reg. No.	45,549		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING								

#### CENTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the

Signature	Danie Camer	BE 1			
yped or printed name	Jamie Cameron		Date	7/28/06	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



# REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

**PATENT** 

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: John W. Linebarger et al.

Confirmation No.: 9876

Application No.: 10/020,062

Group No.: 2616

Filed: 10-30-2001

Examiner: Thai D. Hoang

For: SYSTEM & METHOD FOR SELECTING SPECTRUM

Mailstop: AF

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

## **Introductory Comments**

In response to the final Office action dated June 12, 2006 (hereinafter "the final Office action), please consider the following remarks. As this response is being submitted within two months of the date of the final Office action, the Assignee respectfully contends that the extension of time policy set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) and MPEP § 714.13 pertaining to responses to final rejections applies to this response.